



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_43080
Title of the Manuscript:	A Literature Review on Medicinal Plants are using for the Management of the Snake bite in Traditional Medicine.
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Manuscript is well written, Introduction and methodology is superb. There are minor changes required to correct technically, however no grammatically issues found in the full length manuscript. Minor changes issues are highlighted in the manuscript.	Acknowledge for valuable comments and correction were made.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Manuscript is adequately written, it offers new information and new slant on the topic. This review is an effort to display a thorough record all over the world including Sri Lanka, grown plants utilized as a part of the treatment of snake bite in any structures like venom neutralization, topical application for nearby help with discomfort, oral detailing for relief from discomfort and so forth.	Sure, these suggestions will be considered in future research also.